Joshua B. Swigart, Esq. (SBN: 225557)
josh@westcoastlitigation.com
Robert L. Hyde, Esq. (SBN: 227183)
bob@westcoastlitigation.com
Hyde & Swigart
411 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 301
San Diego, CA 92108-3551
Telephone: (619) 233-7770
Facsimile: (619) 297-1022
Abbas Kazerounian, Esq. (SBN: 249203)
ak@kazlg.com
Kazerouni Law Group, APC
2700 North Main Street, Ste. 1050
Santa Ana, CA 92866
Telephone: (800) 400-6808
Facsimile: (800) 520-5523

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Sahar Maleksaeedi, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	Case No.: '11CV0790 JAH CAB CLASS ACTION		
Plaintiffs, v. American Express Centurion Bank,	Complaint for Damages and Injunctive Relief Pursuant To The Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C § 227 et seq.		
Defendant.	Jury Trial Demanded		

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Introduction

Sahar Maleksaeed ("Plaintiff") brings this First Amended Class Action 1. Complaint for damages, injunctive relief, and any other available legal or equitable remedies, resulting from the illegal actions of American Express Centurion Bank ("Defendant"), in negligently, and/or willfully contacting Plaintiff on Plaintiff's cellular telephone, in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., ("TCPA"), thereby invading Plaintiff's privacy. Plaintiff alleges as follows upon personal knowledge as to himself and his own acts and experiences, and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief, including investigation conducted by his attorneys.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- Jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) because Plaintiff seeks up 2. to \$1,500 in damages for each call in violation of the TCPA, which, when aggregated among a proposed class number in the tens of thousands, exceeds the \$5,000,000 threshold for federal court jurisdiction. Further, Plaintiff alleges a national class, which will result in at least one class member belonging to a different state than that of Defendant, providing jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. Section 1332(d)(2)(A). Therefore, both elements of diversity jurisdiction under the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA") are present, and this Court has jurisdiction.
- Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Southern District of 3. California pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and 1441(a) because the events giving rise to Plaintiff's causes of action against Defendant occurred within the State of California and the County of San Diego, within this judicial district.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

PARTIES

- Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a citizen and resident of the 4. State of California. Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (10).
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believed, and thereon alleges, that Defendant is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a corporation whose primary corporate address is in New York, New York. Defendant, is a citizen of this state. Defendant is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a corporation and a "person," as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (10). Defendant provides unsecured credit cards to thousands, if not hundreds of thousands of consumers. Plaintiff alleges that at all times relevant herein Defendant conducted business in the State of California and in the County of San Diego, and within this judicial district.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. At all times relevant, Plaintiff was a citizen of the State of California. Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (10).
- Defendant is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a corporation and a 7. "person," as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (10).
- 8. At all times relevant Defendant conducted business in the State of California and in the County of San Diego, within this judicial district.
- Plaintiff has an American Express Credit card for a long period of time. 22 9.
 - Plaintiff activated one or more options on her American Express, account on 10. line to receive notifications concerning his account via text messages on April 6, 2011.
 - Plaintiff continued to receive text message notifications from Defendant for a 11. period of time, at which time she no longer wanted to receive text message notifications on her cellular telephone from Defendant.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Plaintiff then responded to Defendant's last text message notification by 12. replying "stop."
- 13. At this point, Plaintiff withdrew any type of express or implied consent to receive text message notification to his cellular telephone.
- 14. In response to receiving this revocation of consent, Defendant then immediately sent another, unsolicited, confirmatory text message to Plaintiff's cellular telephone.
- 15. This unsolicited text message placed to Plaintiff's cellular telephone was placed via an "automatic telephone dialing system," ("ATDS") as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227 (a)(1) as prohibited by 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A).
- 16. The telephone number that the defendant, or its agents, called was assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1).
- These telephone calls constituted calls that were not for emergency purposes 17. as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A)(i).
- 18. Plaintiff did not provide Defendant or its agents prior express consent to receive unsolicited text messages, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A).
- 19. These telephone calls by Defendant or its agents violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b) **(1)**.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and on behalf of and all others 20. similarly situated ("the Class").
- 21. Plaintiff represents, and is a member of the Class, consisting of all persons within the United States who received any unsolicited confirmatory text messages and/or any other unsolicited text messages from Defendant after any class member sent a reply text message, "stop," to Defendant in response to a text message sent by Defendant, which text message was not made for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- emergency purposes or with the recipient's prior express consent, within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint.
- Defendant and its employees or agents are excluded from the Class. Plaintiff 22. does not know the number of members in the Class, but believes the Class members number in the hundreds of thousands, if not more. Thus, this matter should be certified as a Class action to assist in the expeditious litigation of this matter.
- 23. Plaintiff and members of the Class were harmed by the acts of Defendant in at least the following ways: Defendant, either directly or through its agents, illegally contacted Plaintiff and the Class members via their cellular telephones by using an unsolicited and/or confirmatory text message, thereby causing Plaintiff and the Class members to incur certain cellular telephone charges or reduce cellular telephone time for which Plaintiff and the Class members previously paid, and invading the privacy of said Plaintiff and the Class members. Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged thereby.
- 24. This suit seeks only damages and injunctive relief for recovery of economic injury on behalf of the Class and it expressly is not intended to request any recovery for personal injury and claims related thereto. Plaintiff reserves the right to expand the Class definition to seek recovery on behalf of additional persons as warranted as facts are learned in further investigation and discovery.
- The joinder of the Class members is impractical and the disposition of their 25. claims in the Class action will provide substantial benefits both to the parties and to the court. The Class can be identified through Defendant's records or Defendant's agents' records.
- There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact 26. involved affecting the parties to be represented. The questions of law and fact

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

to the Class predominate over questions which may affect individual Class members, including the following:

- Whether, within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint, a) Defendant placed any confirmatory text messages (other than a text message made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) to a Class member using any automatic telephone dialing and/or texting system to any telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service;
- Whether Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged thereby, and b) the extent of damages for such violation; and
- Whether Defendant should be enjoined from engaging in such conduct c) in the future.
- 28. As a person that received at least one confirmatory text message without Plaintiff's prior express consent, Plaintiff is asserting claims that are typical of Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the the Class. interests of the Class in that Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to any member of the Class.
- Plaintiff and the members of the Class have all suffered irreparable harm as a 29. result of the Defendant's unlawful and wrongful conduct. Absent a class action, the Class will continue to face the potential for irreparable harm. In addition, these violations of law will be allowed to proceed without remedy and Defendant will likely continue such illegal conduct. Because of the size of the individual Class member's claims, few, if any, Class members could afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein.
- 30. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in handling class action claims and claims involving violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act.
- 31. A class action is a superior method for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Class-wide damages are essential to induce Defendant to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

comply with federal and California law. The interest of Class members in individually controlling the prosecution of separate claims against Defendant is small because the maximum statutory damages in an individual action for violation of privacy are minimal. Management of these claims is likely to present significantly fewer difficulties than those presented in many class claims.

Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby 32. making appropriate final injunctive relief and corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENT VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this 33. Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and 34. multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seg.
- As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq, 35. Plaintiff and The Class are entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- Plaintiff and the The Class are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief 36. prohibiting such conduct in the future.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court grant Plaintiff and The Class members the following relief against Defendant:

27

28

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENT VIOLATION OF THE TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- 37. As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1), Plaintiff seeks for himself and each Class member \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 38. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A), injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.
- 39. Any other relief the Court may deem just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY

40. Pursuant to the seventh amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America, Plaintiffs are entitled to, and demand, a trial by jury.

Date: April 7, 2011 HYDE & SWIGART

By: <u>/s Joshua B. Swigart</u>
Joshua B. Swigart
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Case 3:11-cv-00790-JAH -CAB Document 1 Filed 04/14/11 Page 9 of 9

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS			
Sahar Maleksaeedi, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated		American Express Centurion Bank				
	e of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		NOTE: IN LANE	f First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O CONDEMNATION CASES, UNVOLVED.	P-04/00/2019 - 1801	
Hyde & Swigart	e, Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Known)	'11 CV0790 J	AH CAB	
	South Suite 301, San Diego, CA 9			DINCIPAL DADTIES		
U.S. Government Plaintiff	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State	T DEF		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item II 		en of Another State	2		
			en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)					
CONTRACT	TORTS DEDCOMAL INJUDY DEDCOMAL INJUDY		ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY CL 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Slander 3368 Asbestos Pe Liability Liability 340 Marine Product Liability 370 Other Fraud Liability 371 Truth in Ler 355 Motor Vehicle 755 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 385 Property Da Product Liability 385 Property Da	1 1 2 2 3 46 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	0 Agriculture 0 Other Food & Drug 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Liquor Laws 0 R.R. & Truck 0 Airline Regs. 0 Occupational Safety/Health 0 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 0 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 0 Railway Labor Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 3 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee 5 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
№1 Original □ 2 Re	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from	Reop	ened another	erred from		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which year of the U.S. Civil Statute under which year of the Seq Brief description of cause:	ou are filing (I	Do not cite jurisdictiona	l statutes unless diversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	Notice to the second se	TION DI	EMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: Yes ①No	
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD						
4/14/11						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						
RECEIPT# A	MOUNT APPLYING II	ED.	JUDGE	MAG. JUE	OGE	